

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

٠	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/039,821	01/04/2002	Daniel P. Sutula JR.	9519	
	7590 08/04/2005			EXAM	INER
DANIEL P. SUTULA JR.			•	KASENGE, CHARLES R	
11 REVERE DRIVE BRISTOL, CT 06010				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2125	
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application Application							
## Examiner Charles R. Kasenge 2125 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If the period for phy specified south is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of thiny (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for phy specified south is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of thiny (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for phy specified south is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of thiny (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for phy specified south is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of thiny (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for phy specified south is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of this phy (20) days will be considered timely. If the period consideration is less than this (0) days, a very which the standard printerium of this (20) days will be considered timely. If the period consideration is the period to red, voil, by shalled, cause the application to borone ABANDARD (3) U.S.C.§ 1130, Any reby; beceful by the discussion of the mainterium of the period to the communication. If the period is the period to the communication of the period to the period of the period of the period to the standard printerium of the period to the days of the days of the period to the days of the days of the period to the days of the days of the period to the days of the period to the days of the period to the days of the days of the period to the days of the days of the days of the period to the days of the days of the days of		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Charles R. Kasenge Charles R. Kasenge 2125	Office Action Summany		SUTULA, DANIEL P.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estationate of time may be a seliable under the provisional of 3 CPR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Estationate of time may be a seliable under the provisional of 3 CPR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Estationate of time may be a seliable under the provisional of the provision of this y (30) days will be considered limely, If the period for reply a specified above is lass than thiny (30) days, a reply velocity of the period for reply a specified above is the sum thiny (30) days, a reply velocity of the considered limely, If the period for reply is applicated with the provision of the provision of the provision of the sum of the provision of the sum of the provision of the provision is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application remains the application is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 118(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priorit	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sets of the Communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the process of the process of the communication of the process of the			<u></u>				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exteriorisms time may be waited based for the procision of 3 CFR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for enty repected shade was the sine than the process of the process of the communication of the process							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2002 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Patersenose's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) 9)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9)□ Notice of Dratesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 9)□	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Parlarperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	<u>1ay 2005</u> .	,				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Hormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 7 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	· —						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _O4	Disposition of Claims						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on O4 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ☐ Other:	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 18 is/are objected to. 						
10)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, 						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	ullet						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	•						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cother:	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)						
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Pate				

Application/Control Number: 10/039,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Office reasserts the rejection of Applicants invention as claimed in view of Schmitt et al. U.S. Patent 5,823,778. Schmitt discloses a single 2-dimensional profile representation of an object (col. 5, lines 5-10). Schmitt teaches, "Once processed, contour can be converted to a two-dimensional CAD file format...". Schmitt also teaches defining 3-dimensional data to create a 3D model (col. 5, lines 56-66). The Applicant defines an instruction and data acquisition form as something that facilitates collection and recording of all required measurements and geometric profile data. Schmitt discloses a component that performs the same function (col. 4, lines 56-60). The Office recommends inserting "wherein parametric representation refers to the side angle measurements in the case of the recoil fitting pad process, and to the diameters and positions of the gun barrel in the case of the vent rib fitting process" into claims 1 and 18. The word "object" would have to be replaced with "recoil pad and/or vent rib". In light of the broadest reasonable interpretation of Applicant's claims, the Office maintains the current rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 18 objected to because of the following informalities: The claims should be written in paragraph form. The first word of each step should be lower-case, a semi-colon after each step, and an "and" before the last step. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,821

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being rejected by Schmitt et al. U.S. Patent 5,823,778. Referring to claims 1 and 18, Schmitt discloses an apparatus and method enabling the precise creation, fitting, and reproduction of objects comprising the steps of:
 - 1) Defining a single 2-dimensional profile representation of an object (col. 5, lines 5-10)
 - 2) Defining 3-dimensional parametric representations of an object (col. 4, lines 47-66)
 - 3) Converting the profile and parametric data into an electronic format suitable for input to computer aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) programs (col. 5, lines 36-61)
 - 4) Creating a virtual CAD model from the profile and parametric data (col. 4, lines 54-60)
 - 5) Calculating Numerical Control (NC) motion commands from the CAD model using CAM technology (col. 4, lines 50-66)
 - 6) Processing an object using Computer Numerical Controlled (CNC) machine (col. 2, lines 1-5)
 - 7) Transmitting data throughout the process, enabling theses steps to be conducted at any combination of geographic locations (col. 2, lines 38-41).

Referring to claims 2, 3, and 7, Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 1

Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

comprises a tracing technique and a digitizing device to define the 2-dimensional profile (col. 5, lines 5-10). Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 2 is facilitated by means of printed measuring utensils (col. 2, lines 21-28).

Referring to claims 4-6, 8, 9, and 14, Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 1 comprises an optical scanning process and exposure to reactive chemical media, to define the 2-dimensional profiles (col. 5, lines 36-43). Exposure to reactive chemical media is inherent to the scanning process. Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 1 and step 2 comprise a digitizing device to define the 2-dimensional profile and 3-dimensional parameters (col. 5, lines 36-61). Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 1 and step 2 are facilitated by means of integrated instruction and data acquisition form (col. 5, lines 36-61). Schmitt discloses them method of claim 1, wherein step 3 comprises optical scanning technology (col. 5, lines 36-61). Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1 wherein step 6 comprises a CNC controlled Rapid Prototyping machine capable of directly producing a part (col. 2, lines 35-38).

Referring to claims 15 and 17, Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step 7 comprises data transmitted electronically (col. 2, lines 38-41). Schmitt discloses the method of claim 1 wherein any combination of steps 1-7 may be combined consolidated and/or automated (col. 2, lines 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2125

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 10-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Kinzie U.S. Patent 5,997,681. Schmitt discloses using CNC cutting tools (col. 1, lines 56-62) and lasers (col. 2, lines 21-28), but doesn't expressly disclose specific CNC tools. Kinzie discloses the method of claim 1 wherein step 6 comprises a CNC controlled machine with a rotating tool (col. 8, lines 57-64), a cutting jet, a cutting wire, and a cutting laser (col. 10, lines 50-63). The Office interprets data transmission over the Internet to be common and obvious form of electronic data transmission.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the various CNC cutting tools used by Kinzie. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since the tools are commonly used to fabricate CAD models (col. 10, lines 50-63).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R Kasenge whose telephone number is 571 272-3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,821

Art Unit: 2125

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CK July 27, 2005

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L-P.P.